



Azure Group

**A SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR PROPOSED
HIGH RESIDENTIAL BUILDING**

3-11 McDONALD STREET, 17 SOPHIA STREET

AND

58 & 60 CLAPPERTON STREET

BARRIE, ONTARIO

PREPARED FOR: BLACK CREEK GROUP

4040 WHITE ROCK STREET

VICTORIA, BC

V8N 2K4

PROJECT NO. 2203 – 002

DATE: OCTOBER 17, 2022

REVISED: JUNE 07, 2024

Azure Group Inc.

6751 Professional Drive, Suites 201 & 202, Mississauga, ON, L4V 1Y3, Canada

T: 905 673 2694 C: 416 779 2694 F: 416 907 2694 E: info@azuregroup.ca www.azuregroup.ca



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	4
2.	PREVIOUS and Current Development of the Site.....	4
3.	SITE AND PROJECT	5
4.	SCOPE OF WORK.....	5
5.	FIELD WORK	6
6.	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	7
6.1	TOPSOIL.....	8
6.2	Fill Soil	8
6.3	Native Soils	8
6.4	Groundwater	10
7	DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	11
7.1	Introduction.....	11
7.2	Site Preparation	12
7.3	Foundation Recommendation.....	13
7.3.1	Conventional Spread and Strip Footings on Native Soil.....	13
7.3.2	Raft Foundation	15
7.3.3	Pile Foundation	15
7.3.4	Driven piles	16
7.4	Earthquake Consideration	17
7.5	Excavation Recommendation	17
7.6	Lateral Earth Pressure	18
7.7	Elevator Pit	19
7.8	Lowering the Groundwater Table.....	20
7.9	Floor Slab (Slab on Grade)	20
7.10	Suitability of Site Material for Reuse.....	21
7.11	Recommendation for Sewer Bedding and Trench Backfilling	21
7.12	Winter Construction	23



7.13	Pavement Design for Asphalt Concrete	24
8.0	LIMITATIONS OF REPORT	26

TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Subsurface Conditions.....	10
Table2: Groundwater Table in Monitoring Wells Installed in 2016 & 2022.....	11
Table 3: Caissons.....	16
Table 4: Driven HP-Pile Capacity of Various Sizes.....	17
Table 5: Soil Type and Slope Ratio.....	18
Table 6: Recommended Soil Parameters.....	19
Table 7: Pavement Structure for the Driveways and Parking Areas.....	25
Table 8: Compaction Recommendation for Pavement Layers.....	25

ENCLOSURES

Site, Borehole and Test Pit Locations Plan
Borehole Logs
Grain Size Distribution Graph

Figure 1
Figures 2 to 8
Figure 9 to 19



1. INTRODUCTION

Azur Group Inc. was retained by Black Creek Group (the Client) to prepare a revised geotechnical report for the proposed development (High Rise Building) at 3-11 McDonald Street, 17 Sophia Street East, and 58-60 Clapperton Street, in Barrie, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as the “Site”).

The revised development will consist of twenty-six (26) levels, And one partial underground level for mechanical room and bicycle storage. Levels 2 to 5 of the building are for parking.

This report has been prepared for Black Creek Group (the Client) specifically and solely for the proposed development of the Site based on the intended layout and the proposed facilities provided at the time preparing this report. Third party use of this report is prohibited without written consent of Azure Group Inc.

2. PREVIOUS and Current Development of the Site

The geotechnical investigations were conducted in several stages. The following is a summary of the stages:

- Azure Group first geotechnical investigation was conducted on the properties at 3-11 McDonald Street and 17 Sophia Street East. In this investigation five (5) boreholes were drilled at various locations and depths.
- The second investigation was carried out into the properties at 58 and 60 Clapperton Street. Two boreholes were drilled at these properties. The properties at Clapperton Street were considered as part of the properties at McDonald Street and Sophia Street (the proposed building will cover all the properties). Recommendation for the building construction was provided in Report No. 2203-002 dated May 18, 2022.
- The investigations revealed that the properties were covered by topsoil and fill underlined by native sand, silty sand, and sandy silt layers. Groundwater was encountered at shallow depth.
- Spread and strip footings were recommended in both investigations.
- Recently, the Client informed Azure Group that the building will consist of 26 levels with one partial underground level for mechanical room and bicycle storage.



3. SITE AND PROJECT

The proposed development covers the properties of 3-11 McDonald Street, 17 Sophia Street East and 58-60 Clapperton Street in Barrie, Ontario.

The topography of the properties at McDonald and Sophia Streets was uneven with an elevation difference of ± 1.2 m at the borehole locations. The properties at 58-60 Clapperton Street are occupied by residential buildings with possible basements.

JCI (structural consultants) provided Azure with the elevation section of the new proposed development. The new development considers the construction of twenty-six (26) levels, And one partial underground level. Levels 2 to 5 of the building are for parking.

4. SCOPE OF WORK

The geotechnical scope of work included the following:

- Advancing five (5) boreholes “BH1 to BH5” at McDonald and Sophia Streets, and two (2) boreholes “BH101 & BH102” at Clapperton Street. The location of the boreholes is shown in Figure 1 attached to this report;
- Installed 50 mm diameter monitoring wells at Clapperton Street properties at the location of BH101 & BH102;
- Conduct field tests during drilling (Standard Penetration Tests “SPT”) to obtain the number of blows “N” per 300 mm and pocket penetrometer tests on the extracted soil samples to obtain the undrained shear strength of the soil c_u ;
- Conduct a limited laboratory tests on selected soil samples obtained from the boreholes for soil classification, and moisture content;
- Prepared a geotechnical report with comments and recommendations regarding:
 - Site preparation Site Grading, Cut and Fill);
 - Appropriate foundation depth, type, bearing capacity, settlement, and seismic classification;
 - Slab on grade;
 - General excavation and backfilling requirements;



- Lateral earth pressure;
- Temporary excavations in accordance with latest edition of the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), and Regulations 213/91,
- Sewer bedding and cover;
- Suitability of the existing materials for backfilling; and,
- Pavement recommendations along the investigated area.

5. FIELD WORK

Prior to undertaking the drilling operation, the Site was cleared of buried underground utilities at the borehole locations. A private locating contractor was retained to identify the position of any privately owned electrical cables or piping that could be present but not identified by Ontario One Call (Public Utilities Locator).

The field work for the Site at McDonald and Sophia Streets was carried out in February/March 2021. The investigation at this Site consisted of drilling 5 boreholes “BH1 to BH5” to depths ranging between 16 m and ± 20 m. The field work for the Site at Clapperton was Carried out in April/May 2022. Two boreholes “BH101 and BH102 were drilled to depth of ± 20 m, using drilling mud. Monitoring wells were installed at the location of BH101 and BH102 to depths 8.81 m and 8.55 m respectively. The location of the boreholes and monitoring wells is shown on the attached Figure 1.

It should be noted that the boreholes intended to be advanced to a depth of 25 m below the grade encountered virtual refusal at depth of ± 20 m below grade and as a result was terminated at these depths;

The surface elevation at the borehole locations was referenced to geodetic datum and was surveyed by Azure Team.

All boreholes were advanced using a track-mounted CME-55 drilling rig with 100 mm diameter continuous flight open/solid-stem auger under the full-time supervision of a geotechnical engineer from Azure Group.



The subsurface strata were sampled at regular intervals of 0.75 m depth for the first 3 m and at 1.5 m interval for the remaining depth, using a split-spoon sampler while performing the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) as detailed in the ASTM D1586. The number of blows of the 63.4 kg hammer required to drive the spoon 0.3 m (12 inches) into the soil was the SPT “N” value of the soil. The “N” value provides an approximate measure of the consistency of cohesive soils or the relative density in non-cohesive (cohesionless) soils.

The field test results were supplemented by laboratory analysis following completion of the drilling, the soil samples were taken to accredited laboratory for final visual assessment, classification and testing. The soil samples were tested and classified in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), ASTM 2487.

The soil samples recovered during the investigation will be stored for a period of 30 days after which they will be discarded unless further instructions are received from Black Creek Group. All boreholes were backfilled with soil cuttings and bentonite.

6. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes are shown on the borehole records provided in Figures 2 to 8. Figure 2 to 6 represents BH1 to BH5 (McDonalds and Sophia Streets Sites), and Figures 7 and 8 represents BH101 and BH102 (Clapperton Street Sites). The borehole records include soil stratification at the borehole locations with detailed soil descriptions and selected physical properties for each stratum encountered. Variations in the soil stratification may occur and should be expected between borehole locations and elsewhere on the site. Furthermore, since the internal diameter of the Spoon used in the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) is 38 mm which is less than the maximum size of the gravel (75 mm), the grain size test results and soil classification may not reflect the entire gravel size fraction.

In general, the subsurface conditions encountered at the borehole locations consisted of topsoil, fill, and native soils.

The following sections describe the materials encountered at the location of the boreholes.



6.1 TOPSOIL

Topsoil was encountered at the location of all boreholes. The thickness of the topsoil varies from 100 mm to 250 mm.

However, the thickness of the topsoil at the borehole locations may not represent the entire Site. Therefore, they should not be considered in estimating the total quantity of the topsoil. The topsoil encountered was dark brown in color indicating a considerable amount of roots and humus. Topsoil in general, is unstable and compressive under loads, therefore, it should not be used for engineering purposes.

6.2 FILL SOIL

Fill soil was encountered below the topsoil. The fill consisted of loose to very loose dark brown silty sand, sandy silt and/or soft to very soft sandy clay/silty clay or a mixture of these materials trace gravel, concrete fragments, brick fragments and topsoil. The fill extended to an approximate depths ranging between ± 1.0 m and ± 2.0 m below the existing ground surface at the location of BH1 to BH5 and ± 1.8 m to ± 2.0 m at the location of BH101 and BH102.

Records of backfilling operation were not available at the time of our investigation. Therefore, we are of the opinion that the existing fill was not engineered and are not suitable for supporting the proposed building.

6.3 NATIVE SOILS

Layers of native sand/silty sand, sandy silt and silt trace gravel and clay were encountered below the fill at the location of all the boreholes. The native soils extend to a refusal depth of ± 20.0 m below grade. Based on the “N” values obtained from the Standard Penetration Test “SPT”, the relative density of the sandy soil immediately below the fill at the location of BH1 to BH5 was very loose to loose. The loose soil extended to an average depth of ± 3.5 m below the existing ground surface. The relative density of the sandy soil below a depth of ± 3.5 m increases gradually to very dense at the location of all boreholes except at the location of BH3. At BH3 loose to compact sandy soil was encountered at depths ranging between 12 and 13 m. The low relative



density at these depths may be attributed to the hydrostatic uplift pressure (the uplift water pressure may cause quick conditions or soil piping in sandy soil).

To minimize the effect of uplift hydrostatic pressure during the drilling process below the ground water table, drilling mud was used at the location of BH101 and BH102.

The relative density of the sandy soil at the location of BH101 and BH102 was compact to very dense below the fill soils. A layer of hard/very dense clayey silt/silt was encountered at depths ranging between ± 11.5 m and 13.5 m.

The drilling operation extended to termination depth of 16 m at the location of BH1 to BH4 and a refusal depth of 20 m at the location of BH5. At the location of BH101 and BH102, the native soil extended to a termination depth of ± 19.0 m below the existing ground surface.

The moisture content of the native soil ranges between 7.6% to 17.4% with average of 12.9% at the location of BH1 to BH5 and 9.7% to 18.8% with average of 13.64% at the location of BH101 and BH102.

Grain size analysis was carried out on representative soil samples at the location of BH1 to BH5 indicated the following:

Gravel	Average 8.7% (Ranging between 0.4% and 28.0%)
Sand	Average 58.04 (Ranging between 45.7% and 70.1%)
Silt and Clay	Average 33.3% (Ranging between 10.9% and 53.9%)

At the location of BH101 and BH102 the grain size analysis indicated the following:

Gravel	Average 1.93% (Ranging between 0.4% and 4.4%)
Sand	Average 57.43 (Ranging between 46.6% and 64.1%)
Silt and Clay	Average 40.64% (Ranging between 35.5% and 52.3%)

Summary of the materials encountered at the location of the boreholes and grain size analysis of selected soil samples are provided in the Appendix B - Borehole logs (drawing 2 to 8) and Appendix C – Grain Size Distribution Graphs.



6.4 GROUNDWATER

In 2016 hydrogeological investigation, several monitoring wells were installed by others. The groundwater table in these wells were monitored in 2016 and 2017 by others and by Azure Team in 2021 and 2022. Monitoring results are summarized in Table 2.

In 2021 investigation (at McDonald and Sophia Streets) five boreholes BH1 to BH5 were drilled at various locations. Groundwater was encountered at depths ranging 5.5 m and 10.4 m with average of 7.7 m below the existing ground surface.

In 2022 investigation (at Clapperton Street), two monitoring wells were installed at the location of BH101 and BH102. Groundwater was not measured during or upon drilling completion since drilling mud was used during the drilling operation.

The groundwater table in monitoring wells BH101 and BH102, was measured in April 28, 2022 at depth of 5.33 m and 5.63 m (corresponding to elevations 228.33 m and 228.4 m) respectively.

It should be noted that the natural groundwater table is likely to vary throughout the year, depending on the amount of precipitation, runoff, evaporation, and percolation in the area. Summary of subsurface condition and groundwater table is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Subsurface Conditions

BH No.	G.S.E. m	BH Depth m	Depth Soil Layers (m)		Monitoring Well Depth (m)	GWT March 21, 2021	
			Topsoil	Fill		Depth	Elev.
BH1	234.41	16/218.41	250 mm	1.1	-	5.5 m	228.91 m
BH2	234.43	16/218.43	250 mm	1.0	-	6.7 m	227.73 m
BH3	233.64	16/217.64	250 mm	1.5	-	10.4 m	223.24 m
BH4	233.61	16/217.61		1.5	-	7.0 m	226.61 m
BH5	233.76	20/213.76	250 mm	2.0	-	9.1 m	224.66 m
						GWT April 28, 2022	
BH101	233.66	18.9 m	50 mm	1.8 m	8.81 m	5.33 m	228.33 m
BH102	234.03	18.9 m	100 mm	2.0 m	8.55 m	5.63 m	228.40 m

G.S.E =Ground Surface Elevation

G.W.T = Ground Water Table

Summary of groundwater table in the Monitoring wells installed in 2016 and 2022 is summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2: Groundwater Table in Monitoring Wells Installed in 2016 & 2022**

BH & MW No.	G.S. Elev. (m)	Groundwater Table in MW installed in 2016, 2021 & 2022			
		Depth/Elevation (m)			
		Sep. 12, 2016	Mar. 21, 2017	Mar. 24, 2021	April 28, 2022
MW-1	233.38	7.07/226.31	5.52/227.86	-	-
MW-2	235.11	2.47/232.64	5.32/229.79	6.5/228.61	-
MW-3	234.32	7.90/226.42	6.42/227.9	7.27/227.15	-
MW-4	234.43	6.26/228.17	4.55/229.77	-	-
MW-4A	234.97	6.25/228.72	4.66/230.31	6.12/228.85	-
MW-A1	233.83	7.46/226.37	6.02/227.81	-	-
MW-A2	233.77	6.7/227.07	6.34/227.43	6.94/226.83	-
MW-B1	233.67	7.48/226.19	5.97/227.7		6.79/226.88
MW-B2	233.69	7.48/226.21	5.83/227.86		6.80/226.89
MW-C1	233.67	8.03/225.64	5.57/228.1		7.03/226.64
MW-C2	233.56	7.35/226.21	5.23/228.33		-
					May 2, 2022
MW-101	233.66		-	-	5.33/228.33
MW-102	234.03		-	-	5.63/228.4

7 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Based on the latest information obtained from the JCI, the following comments and constraints are provided with respect to the latest to the proposed scope of development:

- The development considers the construction of slab on grade twenty-six (26) storey mix use building.
- Portion of the development will consider the construction of one partial underground level for mechanical equipment and bicycle storage.
- Loose to very loose sandy soils were encountered below the ground surface at the location of boreholes BH3, BH4, and BH5. The loose sandy soil extended to at an approximate average depth of ± 3.0 m (corresponding to elevation 231.00 m). The relative density of the sandy soil increases gradually to dense or very dense to the termination depths of the boreholes.
- The groundwater table in the monitoring wells was measured on April 28, 2022, at depths of 5.33 m and 5.63 m (corresponding to elevations 228.33 m and 228.4 m) respectively.



- Since the construction of the building will not extend beyond the encountered water table, dewatering will not be required during and/or after construction.
- Shallow foundation (spread and continuous footings), raft foundation and piles may be considered to support the building.
- Temporary shoring may be required to maintain the stability of the excavation. The following sections contain recommendations for building construction base on the materials encountered in the boreholes.

7.2 Site Preparation

There is a surface elevation difference of ± 1.2 m throughout the properties at McDonald and Sophia Streets. Residential buildings with possible basement exist at the location of Clapperton Street. The following recommendations should be considered for the site preparation to achieve the final grades:

- The existing residential buildings at 58-60 Clapperton should be demolished and the basement (if any) should be backfilled to the existing ground surface;
- The trees, the topsoil, and the soft/loose soils should be removed from areas of the proposed development;
- The exposed ground surface should be inspected and thoroughly proof rolled in the presence of a qualified geotechnical engineer. The purpose of the proof-roll is to locate areas of unsuitably loose or soft subgrade and to uniformly compact the surface. In clayey soils, proof-rolling should be performed with a loaded tandem axle dump truck, rubber-tired loader, or other suitable piece of pneumatic-tired construction equipment. In granular soils, proof-rolling should be performed with a heavy (minimum 10 tonnes) self-propelled vibratory roller. The proof-rolling should consist of a minimum of six passes per unit area and should be tested to ensure that areas exhibiting more than 15 mm deflection or any soft, wet or deleterious materials identified at the time of proof rolling should be sub-excavated and replaced with a suitable and/or approved drier materials. The exposed surface after the proof rolling should be compacted to at least 100% of the materials Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD);
- Following the subgrade preparation, fill materials may be placed on the exposed surface ;



- Materials placed to raise the final grade or backfilling the basement or replace the excavated materials during proof rolling should be placed in 150 mm thick lifts in the loose condition and uniformly compacted to minimum of 100% of the materials SPMDD,
- The filling and compacting should continue until the final elevation of the subgrade and/or foundation level is achieved,
- During the placement of structural fill, all frozen materials should be removed prior to placing additional layers of materials,
- Imported fill materials for use in the development on the site must meet all applicable municipal, provincial, and federal guidelines as well as regulations associated with environmental characterization of the materials. The materials should also be examined and approved by a qualified geotechnical engineer,
- We do not recommend construction to be carried out in wet or inclement weather,

7.3 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATION

Based on the available soil parameters obtained from the boreholes, conventional spread/strip footing, raft and piles foundation may be considered for supporting the building. Since loose to very loose sand was encountered at a of 3 m (corresponding to an elevation of 230.61 m) we recommend that the spread/strip and raft foundation should be constructed at a depth of 4.5 m (229.14 m).

7.3.1 CONVENTIONAL SPREAD AND STRIP FOOTINGS ON NATIVE SOIL

- We anticipate that spread/strip footings will be constructed on the existing native dense sandy soil at a minimum depth of 4.5 m (corresponding to an elevation of 229.14 m).
- For foundation constructed on depth of 4.5 m (corresponding to an elevation of 229.14 m), the recommended factored Ultimate Limit State (ULS) and the Serviceability Limit State (SLS) are 600 kPa and 400 kPa respectively.

Foundation Notes

The following notes should be considered in the design and construction of the spread/strip footings:



-
- The above recommendations apply to the actual borehole locations. Variations in soil profile and properties may be present between the borehole locations. Should significant variations evident during construction, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report,
 - Footing excavations should be inspected and approved by **Azure Group geotechnical engineer** during construction. All soft/loose, wet frozen or disturbed material should be removed, and the exposed subgrade should be immediately covered with 50 mm of lean concret to minimize/eliminate soil disturbance,
 - The ground water table in sandy soil should be lowered to a depth of not less 1.2 m below the founding level of the footings,
 - For frost protection, footings should be constructed at a depth of not less than 1.5 m below the grade,
 - Surface/rainwater should not be allowed in the excavated footings,
 - The above bearing capacities must be reviewed by our office, once the finished floor elevation of the building has been established,
 - The total and differential settlement for footings constructed on the native sandy soil are expected to be less than 25 mm and 15 mm respectively,
 - The minimum footing size, footing thickness, excavation size and other footing requirements should be designed in accordance with the requirements detailed in the latest edition of the Ontario Building Code “OBC”,
 - Footings constructed at different levels should be constructed so that the higher foundations are set behind a line sloping at an angle of 7V: 10 H and drawn from the near edge of the lower footing,
 - For overturning considerations of spread or continuous foundations, the maximum soil pressure at the toe of the foundations should not exceed the maximum net allowable soil pressure.



7.3.2 RAFT FOUNDATION

Raft foundation is another option for the support of the building loads. Raft can be designed and constructed to provide suitable support for the building which spreads the building loads over the entire structure footing print.

For raft constructed at elevation of 229.14 m, the recommended factored Ultimate Limit State (ULS) and Serviceability Limit State (SLS) are 600 kPa and 400 kPa respectively.

A modulus of Subgrade Reaction K_s of 16,000 kPa/m may be considered for the design of the raft foundation.

Raft Foundation Notes

- The raft foundation should be designed sufficiently rigid to distribute uniformly the applied building loads over the entire building footprint,
- We recommend covering the area of the proposed footprint of the raft with 50 mm lean concrete to prevent soil disturbance to the founding subgrade.
- Structural engineers should decide the thickness of the mud slab.
- The total and differential settlement for raft footings constructed on the native sandy soil are expected to be less than 25 mm and 15 mm respectively,

7.3.3 PILE FOUNDATION

Pile foundation is another option for the support of the building load. Caisson and Steel piles may be considered. The following sections are recommendations for both types of piles.

Caissons

Caissons bearing on dense soil are recommended for the support of the building loads. Since dense sandy soil was encountered below an average depth of 3.5 m, the caissons may derive their supporting capacity from end bearing on the bottom of the shaft, skin friction along the length of the shaft, or combination of these factors.

Two (2) different diameters of the caissons were considered for this Site (1.0 m and 1.25 m)

**Table 3: caissons**

Depth	Diameter	ULS (factored)	SLS
10 m (33 ft)	1.0 m (2.5 ft)	2150 kN/242 US Ton	1780 kN/200 US Ton
10 m (33 ft)	1.2 m (4.0 ft)	4230 kN/450 US Ton	3525 kN/396 US Ton

General Notes for Caissons Construction

The following is recommended for the caisson's construction:

- The estimated settlement and differential settlement of the caissons suited on the dense/very dense layers using the recommended bearing pressure will be 25 mm and 15 mm respectively,
- The caissons should penetrate not less than 10 m into the dense/very dense sandy soil,
- Drilled shafts should be inspected and evaluated by a qualified geotechnical engineer to verify that proper bearing strata has been reached and that the bearing surface has been properly cleaned,
- Temporarily liners are recommended to support the sides of the drilled holes to minimize the soil caving and the ingress of the water seepage from the sides of the holes,
- We recommend that the liners be advanced without removal of the soil for several meters below the groundwater table,
- The use of drilling mud (e.g., bentonite slurry) is recommended in advancing the caissons below the groundwater table,
- rebar gages vertical and transverse (spiral or ties) reinforcement should be considered in the construction of the caissons. the structural engineer should determine the required reinforcement,

Spacing of the caissons should be twice the diameter of the pile.

7.3.4 DRIVEN PILES

Driven piles are recommended as another viable alternative for the support of the building loads. Like caissons, driven piles may derive its supporting capacity from end bearing on the bottom of the piles, skin friction along the length of the shaft, or a combination of these factors.

Driven piles such as HP-piles, pipe piles, and precast concrete may be used for this Site.



HP-Piles

Steel HP-Pile is recommended.

Based on the available soil boring data, we recommend HP 310 x 110 or HP 360x132 Grade 36 (ASTM Specification) be used to support the proposed building.

A summary of the factored axial geotechnical resistance at ULS and SLS for the various sizes of HP piles installed to a depth of ± 10 m is provided in Table 4.

Table 4- Driven HP-Pile Capacity of Various Sizes

Depth	Type of HP Pile	ULS (factored)	SLS
10 m (33 ft)	HP 310x110	1200 kPa/120 US Ton	800 kPa/88 US Ton
10 m (33 ft)	HP 360x132	1500 kPa/120 US Ton	800 kPa/88 US Ton

7.4 EARTHQUAKE CONSIDERATION

The 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC) Subsection 4.1.8 stipulates that a structure should be designed to meet the requirements of the Earthquake Load Effects. The Site Classification for the Seismic Site Response (Table 4.1.8.4. A) is determined from the average Standard Penetration Resistance (N60) and the soil undrained shear strength (Su).

Based on the average soil conditions below the existing ground surface and as per 2012 OBC Table 4.1.8.4A, the site classification for seismic site response is considered as **Site Class C**. The site classification is only for design consideration. For the possibility of obtaining higher Site Class, e.g., **Site Class B**, Shear wave tests should be considered.

7.5 EXCAVATION RECOMMENDATION

- It is anticipated that footings will be constructed at depths ranging from 4 m to 4.5 m below the existing ground level.



- Temporary excavation for footings or underground services must be carried out in accordance with the latest edition of the occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA).
- According to OHSA, if excavation is deeper than 1.2 m, the excavated sides should be sloped. The slope of the sides depends on the type of the excavated materials. Table 5 stipulate maximum slope exaction for various soil type.

Table 5- Soil Type and Slope Ratio

Soil Type	Base of Slope	Maximum Slope Identification
1	Within 1.2 meters of bottom of trench	1H: 1V
2	Within 1.2 meters of bottom of trench	1H: 1V
3	From bottom of trench	1H: 1V
4	From bottom of trench	3H: 1V

- Fill soil may be classified as Type 4 and native soil may be classified as Type 3.
- Excavation of side slopes should be protected from exposure to precipitation and associated ground excavation or if wet conditions are encountered, the side slopes should be flattened as required to maintain safe working conditions.
- It should be noted that the above recommendations are based on the actual borehole locations. Should any contaminated materials be encountered beyond the locations of the boreholes, it may be necessary to revise the above recommendations.

7.6 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE

Walls and/or bracing supporting soil should be designed and constructed to resist lateral earth pressure imposed by the soil and hydrostatic pressure. For wall that are designed to allow rotation, active earth pressure may be used for design. For rigidly tied walls, the at-test earth pressure should be used for design. The lateral earth pressure, p , in kPa, acting on a unit element of the shoring system at any depth h , in meters, below the surface of the retained soil, may be estimated from the following equation:

$$P = K [\gamma (h - h_w) + \gamma' h_w + q] + \gamma_w h_w$$

The above equation considers the hydrostatic pressure in determining the lateral pressure on the wall if continuous wall drains are not provided.



A perimeter drainage system may be constructed to prevent the build-up of any hydrostatic pressure behind the wall. In this case, the following equation is recommended:

$$P_a = K (\gamma h + q)$$

Where:

γ = the soil unit weight

K = Lateral earth pressure coefficient

K_o = earth pressure coefficient at rest, if wall movement cannot be permitted

K_a = active earth pressure coefficient, if moderate wall movement can be permitted,

K_p = passive earth pressure coefficient

q = is the surcharge load adjacent to the shoring wall

ϕ = is the angle of internal friction of the soil

A summary of the soil parameters is provided in the following Table 6.

Table 6 – Recommended Soil Parameters

Soil Parameters	ϕ	γ (kN/m ³)	K_a	K_o	K_p
Compact sand/silty sand	30	19	0.33	0.5	3.0
Dense sand/silty sand	33	21	0.30	0.45	3.33

7.7 ELEVATOR PIT

The elevator pit will be constructed at a depth of 2.05 m below the finished P1 level of the building. The top elevation of the elevator pit will be 229.35 m and base of raft foundation in vicinity of elevator shaft will be 227.75.

If spread footings are considered for supporting the building loads, we recommend that the pit be designed and constructed as a water-tight structure. The design should consider the hydrostatic pressure on the pit walls and the uplift pressure acting at the bottom of the pit.

If raft foundation is considered for supporting the building load, the elevator pit will be constructed as part of the raft footing..



7.8 LOWERING THE GROUNDWATER TABLE

- Excavation below the groundwater table causes an uplift water pressure. The uplift water pressure may cause quick conditions in sandy soil (soil piping and disturbances), therefore, control of groundwater will be imperative during construction.
- To reduce the potential of the base instability during excavation in sandy soil, the groundwater table should be lowered to a depth of not less than 1.2 m below the bottom of the excavation. For this purpose, well point dewatering system is recommended to sufficiently lower the groundwater level,
- Lowering the groundwater table should be designed by specialized consultant and executed by specialized dewatering contractor,
- The dewatering process should continue during construction of the building until the downward pressure from the structure is higher than the hydrostatic uplift pressure. Therefore, the termination of the dewatering process should be decided by the structure engineer.
- For foundation constructed on clayey soil, swells along the footing that slope downwards toward a sump may be used. A sump pump may then be used to pump the accumulated,
- Lowering the groundwater table during underground construction should only be carried to a depth that will not adversely affect the adjacent structures.

7.9 FLOOR SLAB (SLAB ON GRADE)

The following recommendations are for slab constructed on the existing ground surface:

- Prior to any slab on grade construction, the topsoil, loose material should be stripped off to a depth of not less than 500 mm below the existing ground surface. However, the depth of stripping should be decided by a geotechnical engineer.
- The exposed subgrade should be inspected and compacted to at least 98% of the materials Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density “SPMDD”, and proof rolled with a loaded tandem truck. Proof rolling is discussed in Section 7.2.
- Materials placed to raise the final grade and compaction is discussed in Section 7.2.
- A moisture barrier (capillary moisture barrier) should be placed between the underside of the floor slab and exposed soil. It is recommended that the moisture barrier consists of a



minimum 150 mm thick layer of Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular “A” or 19 mm clear crushed stone compacted to a minimum of 98% of the SPMDD,

- Subfloor drainage is not required provided that the slab on grade is constructed above the outside grade. Furthermore, the outside ground surface should be graded to direct runoff water away from the building.

7.10 SUITABILITY OF SITE MATERIAL FOR REUSE

The fill and native soils are considered suitable for backfilling the trenches provided that the moisture content is within the optimum content of 2%, and these activities are not undertaken in wet or freezing conditions. However, these materials are not suitable for reuse as new base/subbase materials below the pavement. Deleterious material, if any, should be removed prior to reuse on site. The use of these materials is subject to a geotechnical engineer approval.

7.11 RECOMMENDATION FOR SEWER BEDDING AND TRENCH BACKFILLING

- Pipe bedding and backfill material specifications and compaction criteria for water and sewer services should be in accordance with the pipe designer’s recommendations and/or local municipal requirements,
- The subgrade of the sewer should consist of sound native soil, or properly compacted fill materials free of organic and/or deleterious materials. If the bottom of the trench at the required pipe grade is found to be unstable or include organics materials, the unsuitable materials should be removed and replaced with approved materials prior to any pipe installation,
- The exposed trench bottom shall be compacted to a minimum of 95% of the materials Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). OPSS Granular B is recommended for the backfilling the excavated trench. The backfilling operation should be carried out in lifts not exceeding 150 mm in the loose state compacted to 98% of the materials SPMDD,
- Sandy layer of minimum thickness of 50 mm should be placed below the sewers as a leveling pad. The leveling pad will make the pipe foundation more rigid, reduce the



detrimental effect of differential settlement and provide working platform to facilitate the construction off the sewers. The levelling pad should spread the full width of the trench bottom,

- After preparation of the trench base, a pipe bedding of a minimum thickness of 150 mm shall be placed using crushed stone or crushed gravel, meeting OPSS Granular “A” material compacted to 98% of the materials SPMDD,
- All trench excavations shall be backfilled immediately after the sewers are laid. The backfilling operation should be carried out on both sides of the sewer and shall be manually compacted simultaneously. At no time shall the levels of each side differ by more than 100 mm un-compacted. Approved granular materials should be used for backfilling. The granular materials should extend to a minimum of 300 mm above the top of pipe. Backfill materials shall be placed in uniform layers not exceeding 150 mm in thickness for the full width of the trench and compacted manually,
- Backfilling above the 300 mm should be placed in uniform thickness of 150 mm compacted to 98% of the materials SPMDD to eliminate the possibility of settlement, pipe misalignment, or damage to the joints,

Granular materials may be used as backfill materials. The site materials encountered below the topsoil or the ground surface may also be used provided that the materials are free from organics and other deleterious materials,

- Backfill materials shall be placed to a minimum of 900 mm above the crown of the sewer before any power operated tractor or rolling equipment are used for compaction.
- To eliminate pipe floatation when the trench is deluged with water, we recommend to backfill the trench to a thickness of not less than 1.2 m immediately after pipe installation.
- Water lines installed outside of heated areas should be provided with a minimum of 1.5-meter soil cover or equivalent for frost protection,
- In normal sewer construction, the problem of road settlement largely occurs adjacent to manholes or catch basin. In areas which are inaccessible to heavy compaction equipment, additional compactive effort with smaller equipment is warranted,
- In the event that the sewer and/or water main are located below the groundwater, the excavated trench should be dewatered and any loose/soft, saturated soil that exist at the



bottom of the trench should be removed. To minimize the potential for instability of the base and sidewalls of the trenches in sandy soil excavation, an external groundwater control system (e.g., well point system) will be required to sufficiently lower the groundwater table before the excavation is carried out. The proposed groundwater control system should be designed, installed and operated by specialist consultant, and

- Fill materials imported to the site must meet all applicable municipal, provincial, and federal guidelines and regulations associated with environmental characterization of the material. The materials preparation and compaction of bedding layer, the pipe installation should be in accordance with the current revision of OPSS 1010, OPSS 401, OPSS 501, OPSS410, OPSD 902.032, OPSD 803.030 and OPSD 803.031.

7.12 WINTER CONSTRUCTION

When construction is undertaken during periods of inclement weather, or when freeze-thaw affects are a concern, the backfilling procedures should consider the following comments:

- The preferred backfill material during periods of inclement weather is OPPS Granular B Type 1,
- Areas of intended backfill should be clearly identified in the field prior to commencing the work,
- Fill placement should be inspected by qualified field personnel under the supervision of a geotechnical engineer,
- Imported materials that contain ice, snow, or any frozen materials should not be accepted for use,
- Overnight frost penetration into the existing subgrade or the fill layer should be prevented by using insulation blankets. Alternatively, the frozen fill can be removed prior to placing subsequent lifts. Approaches such as breaking the frost in-situ is not considered acceptable, and
- During periods of cold, where ambient temperature is -5° or less, placement of engineered fill should stop and the existing fill materials should be protected from freezing.



7.13 PAVEMENT DESIGN FOR ASPHALT CONCRETE

Flexible asphalt pavement is recommended for the parking areas and site access roads. Flexible pavement consists of a prepared roadbed (subgrade) underlying layers of subbase, base, and surface courses (pavement structure). Prior to pavement structure construction, we recommend the pavement subgrade should be prepared as noted below:

Pavement Subgrade: pavement subgrade can consist of the engineered fill or the native soils encountered at the site. The topsoil and the underlying fill soil to a depth of not less than 500 mm below the existing ground surface should be removed. Any soft, loose or unstable materials should also be removed. The exposed surface should be compacted to at least 98% of the SPMDD and proof-rolled with a loaded tandem truck as discussed in section 6.2.

Sub-grade up-fill should be compacted to at least 98% of the SPMDD, at or below the optimum moisture content (OMC) in lifts not exceeding 150 mm in thickness. Any materials that has moisture content higher than 3% of its OMC should be dried out.

Granular sub-base: the granular sub-base is the portion of the flexible pavement structure between the roadbed (subgrade) and the base course, and is used to increase the structural capacity, raise pavement layers above the frost line, or provide a levelling course for the placement of base layers. Granular “B” may be used for sub-base construction. The sub-base layer should be placed on prepared subgrade.

Granular Base: the granular base is the portion of the pavement structure immediately beneath the surface course. It is constructed with high quality aggregates. The base materials consist of 100% aggregate materials. These materials consist of durable particles of gravel and sand or crushed rock, crushed gravel and sand. Recycled aggregates may also be used for base construction. Geotechnical engineer should be consulted prior the use of the recycled materials. The production, gradation and placement of granular materials (base and sub-base) shall be in accordance with applicable OPSS requirements. The granular base and sub-base layers should be compacted to at least 100% of the SPMDD.



In the extreme cases, such as during the wet season, the top 600 mm of the subgrade may have to be replaced by compacted granular material in order to compensate for the inadequate strength of the wet sub-grade.

Pavement Surface: the surface course of a flexible pavement structure consists of a mixture of mineral aggregates and bituminous materials placed as the upper course and usually constructed on a base course. The pavement surface must be constructed to a higher standard than other layers in the pavement structure. The materials most commonly used for pavement surfacing are the asphalt/bituminous mixtures. The recommended pavement structures are provided in Table 7.

Table 7: Pavement Structure for the Driveways and Parking Areas

Description	Heavy duty	Materials
Asphalt Surface Course (HL3)	40 mm	Hot Mix Asphalt
Asphalt Base Course (HL8)	60 mm	Hot Mix Asphalt
Granular Base	150 mm	19 mm CRL or Granular A
Granular Sub-Base Course	200 mm	50 mm Crushed Limestone or Granular B

CRL = Crusher Run Limestone

The recommended compaction level for each layer is provided in Table 8.

Table 8: Compaction Recommendation for Pavement Layers

Pavement Layer	Compaction Level
Asphalt Surface Course (HL3)	92.0 -96.5% MRD
Asphalt Base Course (HL8)	92.0 – 96.5% MRD
OPSS Granular “A” or 20 mm CRL (Granular Base)	100% SPMDD
OPSS Granular “B” Type II or 50 mm CRL (Granular Sub-base)	100% SPMDD

MRD = Marshall Relative Density

SPMDD = Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density

Notes

1. The pavement surface and subgrade should be graded to direct run-off water away from the roadway, parking areas and associated infrastructure.
2. Construction trucks and equipment should be kept off the newly constructed parking area and driveways before the placement of asphalt layer.

The discussion and recommendations as presented represent the best judgment of the inspector based on the visual observations of the accessible property elements of the Site and adjacent properties observed. Should additional information become available, Azure Group Inc. requests



that this information be brought to our attention so that we may reassess the conclusions presented herein.

Respectfully Submitted,

AZURE GROUP INC.

Janan Sulaiman, Ph.D., P.Eng.
Senior Geotechnical Engineer
jsulaiman@azuregroup.ca

Ahmed Al-Temimi, M.Sc., P.Eng.
Senior Engineer
aaltemimi@azuregroup.ca

8.0 LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

This report was prepared by Azure Group Inc. for the account of 2610818 Ontario Ltd. and for review by its designated agents and financial institutions and government agencies. The material in it reflects the judgement of Mr. Ahmed Al-Temimi, M.Sc., P.Eng. QP(ESA), in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation.

The report may not be relied upon by any other person or entity without the express written consent of Azure Group Inc. and the Client. Any use that a third party makes of this report, or



any reliance on decisions made based on it, is the responsibility of such third parties. Azure Group Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

One must understand that the mandate of Azure Group Inc. is to obtain readily available past and present information pertinent to the subject site for a Geotechnical Investigation only. No other warranty or representation, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the information is included or intended by this investigation. Azure Group Inc. makes no other representation whatsoever, including those concerning the legal significance of its findings or as to the other legal matters addressed incidentally in this report, including but not limited to, ownership of any property, or the application of any law to the facts set forth herein. With respect to regulatory compliance issues, regulatory statutes are subject to interpretation. These interpretations may change over time; thus the Client should review such issues with appropriate legal counsel.

It should be noted that the information supplied in this report is not be sufficient to obtain approval for disposal of excess soil or materials generated during construction. The geotechnical site characterization is a limited sampling of a site and it does not include any chemical testing. Some of the information presented in this report was provided through existing documents and by third parties. Although attempts were made, whenever possible, to obtain a minimum of two confirmatory sources of information, Azure Group Inc. in certain instances has been required to assume that this information provided is accurate. Due to the nature of the investigation and the limited data available, Azure Group Inc. cannot warrant against undiscovered geotechnical liabilities. No other warranty or representation, either expressed or implied, is included or intended in this report.





This map, either in whole or in part, may not be reproduced without the written authority from © The Corporation of the County of Simcoe.
This map is intended for personal use, has been produced using data from a variety of sources and may not be current or accurate.
Produced (in part) under license from:
© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Department of Natural Resources

0 0.0125 0.025 0.05 km
1:564



March 23, 2021

 Subject Property Boundary

 Spring 2015 Borehole/Monitoring Well Locations

 June 2016 Borehole/Monitoring Well Locations
 Monitoring Well Locations

 February 2021 Azure Borehole Locations
 April 2022 Azure Monitoring Well Locations



AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 2****JOB NUMBER 2101-009****BH1****PROJECT LOCATION: 3-11 MCDONALD STREET & 17 SOPHIA STREET EAST, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client: Black Creek Group** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G% = Gravel, S% = Sand, F% = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date February 22, 2021 Time: 9.30 am Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date February 22, 2021 Time: 1:15 pm****Azure Rep: Sarah Shana Auger Type: 150 mm Open****G. S. El: 234.412 m G.W. Depth: 5.5 m G.W. Elevation: 228.912m Feb. 22, 2021 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	234.41	250 mm Topsoil				
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand, sandy silt, trace clay, gravel, bricks and organics (0.25 m to 1.1 m)				
1.0	233.41					
		Loose to very loose SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT, trace gravel and clay, moist (1.1 m to 3.4 m)	SS 1	5		
2.0	232.41					
			SS 2	4		
3.00	231.41					
		Dense to very dense brown SILTY SAND trace gravel, moist	SS 3	57		
4.00	230.41					
			SS 4	56		
5.00	229.41	V. dense SANDY SILT below 4.5 m				
6.00	228.41	V. dense, grey SILTY SAND trace clay below 5.5 m	SS 5	80		
					5.5 m	
7.00	227.41					
			SS 6	80		
8.00	226.41	Very dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 7.5 m				G%= 6.7 S%= 64.0 F%=35.9
9.00	225.41		SS 7	80		
10.0	224.41	V. dense/hard CLAYEY SILT, SILT trace gravels below 9.0 m				
			SS 8	80		
11.0	223.41					G%=8.8 S%=55.3
		V. dense grey SAND trace silt and gravels below 10.5 m				
12.0	222.41		SS 9	80		F%=35.9
13.0	221.41					G%= 8.8 S%= 55.3 F%= 35.9
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 12 m	SS 10	80		
14.0	220.41					
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 13.5 m				
15.0	219.41		SS 10	80		
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 15 m				

AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 3****JOB NUMBER 2101-009****BH2****PROJECT LOCATION: 3-11 MCDONALD STREET & 17 SOPHIA STREET EAST, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client: Black Creek Group** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G = Gravel, S = Sand, F = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date February 23, 2021 Time: 1:15 am Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date February 23, 2021 Time: 5:15 pm****Azure Rep: Sarah Shana Auger Type: 150 mm Open****G. S. Elevation: 234.434 m G.W. Depth: 6.7 m G.W. Elevation: 227. 73m Feb. 22, 2021 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	234.43	250 mm Topsoil				
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand,				
		sandy silt, trace clay, gravel, bricks and				
1.0	233.43	organics (0.25 m to 1.0 m)				
		Loose to very loose SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT,	SS 1	8		
		trace gravel and clay, moist (1.0 m to 3.0 m)				
2.0	232.43		SS 2	6		
3.00	231.43					
		Dense brown SILTY SAND trace gravel, moist	SS 3	35		
4.00	230.43					
		V. dense SANDY SILT below 4.5 m	SS 4	57		
5.00	229.43					
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND trace clay below				
		5.5 m	SS 5	80		
6.00	228.43				6.7 m	
7.00	227.43					
			SS 6	28		
8.00	226.43	Compact SAND/SILTY SAND below 7.5 m				
9.00	225.43		SS 7	80		G%= 6.5
		V. dense/hard CLAYEY SILT, SILT trace gravels				S%= 51.5
		below 9.0 m				F%=42.0
10.0	224.43		SS 8	80		
11.0	223.43	V. dense grey SAND trace silt and gravels				
		below				G%=28.0
		10.5 m				S%=61.1
12.0	222.43		SS 9	80		F%=10.9
13.0	221.43	V. dense/hard grey CLAYEY SILT below 12 m				
			SS 10	80	>225	
14.0	220.43	V. dense/hard CLAYEY SILT/SILT below 13.5 m				
15.0	219.43		SS 10	80	>225	
		Hard/v. dense CLAYEY SILT/SILT below 15 m				

E.O.B

AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 4****JOB NUMBER 2101-009****BH3****PROJECT LOCATION: 3-11 MCDONALD STREET & 17 SOPHIA STREET EAST, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client: Black Creek Group** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G = Gravel, S = Sand, F = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date February 23, 2021 Time: 9:15 am Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date February 23, 2021 Time: 12:30 pm****Azure Rep.: Sarah Shana****Auger Type: 150 mm Open****G.S Elev: 233.64 m G.W. Depth: 10.4 m G.W. Elev.: 223.24 m February 22, 2021 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0 233.64	250 mm Topsoil					
	Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand, sandy silt, trace clay, gravel, bricks and organics (0.25 m to 1.5 m)					
1.0 232.64		SS	1	9		
2.0 231.64	Loose to very loose SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT, trace gravel and clay, moist (1.5 m to 4.0 m)	SS	2	4		
3.00 230.64		SS	3	3		
4.00 229.64						
	Dense brown SILTY SAND trace gravel, moist	SS	4	40		
5.00 228.64						
6.00 227.64	V. dense SANDY SILT below 4.5 m	SS	5	54		
	V. dense SILTY SAND trace clay below 5.5 m					
7.00 226.64		SS	6	54		
8.00 225.64	V. dense SANDY SILT/SILTY SAND below 7.5 m					
9.00 223.64		SS	7	77		
10.0 223.64	V. dense SANDY SILT/SILTY SAND trace gravels below 9.0 m	SS	8	77	10.4 m	
11.0 223.64						
12.0 221.64	Loose grey SANDY SILT trace clay and gravels below 12 m	SS	9	8		G%= 0.4
						S%= 45.7
13.0 220.64	Loose to compact SANDY SILT trace clay below 13 m	SS	10	10		F%=53.9
14.0 219.64						
	Dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 14 m	SS	11	47		
15.0 218.64						

E.O.B

AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 5****JOB NUMBER 2101-009****BH4****PROJECT LOCATION: 3-11 MCDONALD STREET & 17 SOPHIA STREET EAST, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client: Black Creek Group** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G = Gravel, S = Sand, F = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date February 22, 2021 Time: 2.45 pm Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date February 22, 2021 Time: 5:45 pm****Azure Rep.: Sarah Shana Auger Type: 150 mm Open****G.S. Elev: 233.61 m G.W. Depth: 7.0 m G.W. Elev: 226.61 m February 22, 2021 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	233.61	250 mm Topsoil				
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand,				
		sandy silt, trace clay, gravel, bricks and				
1.0	232.61	organics (0.25 m to 1.5 m)				
		SS	1	3		
2.0	231.61	Loose to very loose SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT,				
		trace gravel and clay, moist (1.5 m to 3.5 m)				
		SS	2	5		
3.00	230.61					
		SS	3	3		
4.00	229.61	Dense to v. dense brown SILTY SAND trace				
		gravel, moist				
		SS	4	57		
5.00	228.61					
		Dense grey SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT trace				
		clay and boulder fragments below 5.5 m				
6.00	227.61					
		SS	5	50		
7.00	226.61	Dense SANDY SILT/SILTY SAND below 7.5 m			7.0 m	
		SS	6	39		
8.00	225.61					
		V. dense SILTY SAND trace clay and gravels				
		below 9.0 m				
9.00	224.61					G%= 6.9
		SS	7	80		S%= 58.6
						F%=34.5
10.0	223.61	V. dense grey SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT trace				
		gravels below 10.5 m				
		SS	8	80		
11.0	222.61					
		Dense grey SANDY SILT trace clay below 12				
		m				
12.0	221.61					
			9	44		
13.0	220.61					
		V. dense SILTY SAND below 13.5 m				
		SS	10	56		
14.0	219.61					
15.0	218.61	V. dense SILTY SAND below 15 m				
		SS	11	58		

E.O.B

AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 6****JOB NUMBER 2101-009****BH5****PROJECT LOCATION: 3-11 MCDONALD STREET & 17 SOPHIA STREET EAST, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client: Black Creek Group** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G = Gravel, S = Sand, F = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Started: February 22, 2021 Time: 9:00 pm Finished: February 24, 2021 Time: 2:00 pm****Azure Rep.: Sarah Shana Auger Type: 150 mm Open Temperature: 5°C****G. S. Elev: 233.76 m G.W. Depth: 9.1 m G.W. Elev: 225.23 m February 22, 2021 Time: 2 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	234.76	250 mm Topsoil				
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand,				
		sandy silt, trace clay, gravel, bricks and				
1.0	233.76	organics (0.25 m to 2.0 m)	SS	1	5	
2.0	232.76					
		Loose to very loose SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT,	SS	2	6	
		trace gravel and clay, moist (2.0 m to 3.7 m)				
3.00	231.76		SS	3	8	
4.00	230.76	Compact brown SILTY SAND trace gravel, moist				
		Compact SANDY SILT below 4.5 m	SS	4	25	
5.00	229.76					
		Compact SILTY SAND trace granular fragments	SS	5	26	
		below 5.5 m				
6.00	228.76					
7.00	227.76	Compact grey SAND/SILTY SAND below 8.0 m	SS	6	26	
8.00	226.76					
9.00	225.76	Dense SILTY SAND, SANDY SILT trace gravels	SS	7	41	9.1 m
		below 9.0 m				
10.0	224.76					
		V. dense grey SAND trace silt and gravels below	SS	8	70	
		10.5 m				
11.0	223.76					
12.0	222.76	Dense below 12 m	SS	9	47	
13.0	221.76					
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND below 13.5 m	SS	10	80	
14.0	220.76					
15.0	219.76	V. dense SILT trace sand and gravel below 15 m	SS	11	80	
16.0	218.76					
			SS	12	80	
17.0	217.76					
18.0	216.76		SS	12	80	
		V. dense SAND/SILT SAND trace clay and gravel				
19.0	215.76	below 18.5 m				
20.0	214.76		SS	14	80	


E.O.B

AZURE GROUP**DRAWING NO. 7****JOB NUMBER 2203-002****BH101****PROJECT LOCATION: 58 & 60 Clapperton Street, BARRIE, ONTARIO****Client:** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G% = Gravel, S% = Sand, F% = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date April 25, 2022 Time: 9.30 am Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date April 26, 2022, Time: 4:15 pm****Azure Rep: Sarah Shana Auger Type: 150 mm Open****Ground Surface El: 233.66 m G.W. Depth: 5.63 m G.W. Elevation: 228.03 m April 26, 2022 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	233.7	100 mm Topsoil	SS 1	4		
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand, trace clay, gravel, bricks and organics (0.1 m to 2.0 m)				
0.75	233.0		SS 2	3		
1.5	232.2	Loose to compact SILTY SAND, trace clay, moist (1.8 m to 3.4 m)	SS 3	12		
2.25	231.5		SS 4	19		G%= 1.8
						S%=59.6
3.0	230.7		SS 5	47		F%=38.6
4.6	229.1	Dense brown SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel, below 4.0 m, moist	SS 6	45		
					5.63 m	
6.00	227.7	V. dense, grey SILTY SAND trace clay below 6.5 m	SS 7	42		G%=4.4
						S%=59.4
7.60	226.1	Very dense SAND/SILTY SAND	SS 8	53		F%=36.2
9.20	224.5	V. dense grey SAND trace silt and gravels	SS 9	63		
10.7	223.0		SS 10	75		
		Hard CLAYEY SILT/SILTY CLAY, SILT trace gravels below 11.5 m				
12.2	221.5		SS 11	>80		
		V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND				
13.7	220.0		SS 12	>80		
		V. dense SAND and gravel below 13.5 m				
15.2	218.5		SS 13	>80	>225	
16.8	216.9		SS 14	>80	>225	
18.3	213.9		SS 15	>80		
19.8	213.9	V. dense SAND and gravel	SS 16	>80		
	213.9	E.O.B				

PROJECT LOCATION: 58 & 60 Clapperton Street, BARRIE, ONTARIO**Client:** **C_u = Shear Strength (kPa) G% = Gravel, S% = Sand, F% = Fines (Silt and Clay), M = Moisture Content****Temperature: 5°C****Started/Date April 25, 2022 Time: 9:30 am Sheet 1 of 1****Finished/Date April 26, 2022 Time: 4:15 pm****Azure Rep: Sarah Shana****Auger Type: 150 mm Open****Ground Surface El: 234.03 m G.W. Depth: 5.63 m G.W. Elevation: 228.4 m April 26, 2022 Time: 4 pm**

Depth/Elev. (m)	Soil Description	Type/No	N	C_u (kPa)	G.W.T	Remark
0	234.0	100 mm Topsoil	SS 1	4		
		Fill: Loose to very loose silty sand, trace clay, gravel, bricks and organics (0.1 m to 2.0 m)				
0.75	233.3		SS 2	3		
1.5	232.5	Loose to compact SILTY SAND, trace clay, moist	SS 3	12		
2.25	231.8		SS 4	19		
3.0	231.0		SS 5	47		
		Dense brown SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel, below 4.0 m, moist				
4.6	229.4		SS 6	45		G%=1.1
						S%=46.6
6.00	228.0	V. dense, grey SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel	SS 7	>80	5.63 m	F%=52.3
7.60	226.4		SS 8	>80		
8.00	226.0	V. dense, grey SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel	SS 9	>80		
9.20	224.8	V. dense, grey SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel	SS 10	>80		G%=0.4
						S%=64.1
10.7	233.3	Very dense SAND/SILTY SAND	SS 11	>80		F%=35.5
12.2	221.8	V. dense grey SAND trace silt and gravels	SS 12	>80		
13.7	220.32	V. dense SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel	SS 13	>80		
15.2	218.8	V. dense SILTY SAND trace clay and gravel	SS 14	>80		
16.8	217.2	V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND	SS 15	>80		
18.3	215.7	V. dense SAND/SILTY SAND	SS 16	>80		
18.9	215.1	V. dense SAND and GRAVEL	SS 18	>80		
18.9		E.O.B				



McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 1 - SS 5 (25'-27')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	100.0
16.0mm	100.0
13.2mm	100.0
9.5mm	100.0
4.75mm	99.3
2.36mm	98.5
1.18mm	97.1
600µm	94.2
300µm	88.1
150µm	78.7
75µm	70.7



Comments: Moisture content 15.3 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 1 - SS 7 (35'-37')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	95.4
16.0mm	95.4
13.2mm	95.4
9.5mm	93.9
4.75mm	91.2
2.36mm	89.3
1.18mm	86.9
600µm	82.3
300µm	69.4
150µm	46.0
75µm	35.9



Comments: Moisture content 12.3 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 1 - SS 8 (40'-42')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	100.0
16.0mm	100.0
13.2mm	100.0
9.5mm	99.5
4.75mm	96.4
2.36mm	94.1
1.18mm	90.9
600µm	84.0
300µm	67.0
150µm	40.1
75µm	26.3



Comments: Moisture content 13.7 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 2 - SS 6 (30'-32')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	100.0
16.0mm	100.0
13.2mm	98.4
9.5mm	96.6
4.75mm	93.5
2.36mm	92.1
1.18mm	91.0
600µm	88.0
300µm	76.7
150µm	54.4
75µm	42.0



Comments: Moisture content 11.5 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 2 - SS 7 (35'-37')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	99.3
16.0mm	99.3
13.2mm	92.5
9.5mm	83.9
4.75mm	72.0
2.36mm	59.5
1.18mm	50.5
600µm	42.7
300µm	30.1
150µm	16.5
75µm	10.9



Comments: Moisture content 7.6 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 3 - SS 8

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.

Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	100.0
16.0mm	100.0
13.2mm	100.0
9.5mm	100.0
4.75mm	99.6
2.36mm	98.1
1.18mm	97.7
600µm	95.7
300µm	85.7
150µm	64.4
75µm	53.9



Comments: Moisture content 17.4 %.

McINTOSH PERRY

McINTOSH PERRY


6240 Highway 7, Suite 200, Woodbridge, ON L4H 4G3 | T. 905-856-5200 | F. 905-695-0221
info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION GRAPH LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Client: Azure Group
Project Name: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Project Number: CCC-213999-00
Location: Sophia St. E, Barrie
Source: BH 4 - SS 6 (30'-32')

Date Sampledd: 2021-02-24, 25
Laboratory No.: 20316
Sampled By: S.S.
Date Tested: 2021-02-26
Tested By: H.F.


Aggregate Mix Properties	
Seive Size	% Passing
26.5mm	100.0
19.0mm	96.7
16.0mm	96.7
13.2mm	95.4
9.5mm	94.9
4.75mm	93.1
2.36mm	90.5
1.18mm	88.1
600µm	83.7
300µm	71.7
150µm	48.7
75µm	34.5



Comments: Moisture content 12.0 %.


McINTOSH PERRY

CLIENT : Azure Group
 LOCATION : 58-60 Clapperton Street, Barie
 DATE : May 4, 2022




Sample	Percent Gravel [%]	Percent sand [%]	Percent Silt and Clay [%]	Moisture Content [%]
BH 101SS5 Brown Silty Sand	1.75%	59.64%	38.61%	12.39%

CLIENT : Azure Group
 LOCATION : 58-60 Clapperton Street, Barrie
 DATE : May 4, 2022




Sample	Percent Gravel [%]	Percent sand [%]	Percent Silt and Clay [%]	Moisture Content [%]
BH 101SS7 Brown Silty Sand	4.40%	59.40%	36.20%	9.71%

CLIENT : Azure Group
 LOCATION : 17 Sophia Street, Barrie
 DATE : May 4, 2022



Sample	Percent Gravel [%]	Percent sand [%]	Percent Silt and Clay [%]	Moisture Content [%]
BH 102-SS6 (15') Clayey Silty Sand	1.18%	46.55%	52.27%	18.83%

CLIENT : Azure Group
 LOCATION : 17 Sophia Street, Barrie
 DATE : May 4, 2022



Sample	Percent Gravel [%]	Percent sand [%]	Percent Silt and Clay [%]	Moisture Content [%]
BH 102-SS9 (30' -32')				
Sandy Clayey Silt	0.40%	64.12%	35.48%	13.66%